AI & Companies Week MSGI (Mathematics Study Group with Industry)

Industry: **CEA**

Topic: Deblurring Images by deconvolution for high-energy scanner

Members:

Jérémy Grebot

Georgios Kopanas

Giulia Marchello

Louis Ohl

Vasiliki Stergiopoulou





Table of contents

- A. Introduction
- B. Preliminary investigations
- C. Variational implementation with pytorch
- D. Deep Learning & Synthetic Degradation

- B. Preliminary investigations
- C. Variational implementation with pytorch
- D. Deep Learning & Synthetic Degradation

Original blurred image We work on simulated data: how to unblur it? 667/1200; 2260x200 pixels; 32-bit; 2GB INRIA_simu_withblur_2260x200x1200_32bLE.dat (75%) ... INRIA_simu_withoutblur_2260x200x1200_32bLE.dat (75%) ... F . 667/1200; 2260x200 pixels; 32-bit; 2GB 25 50 75 100 125 150 175 •••• 522.92x54.18 (852x383); 8-bit; 319K Non blurred image ... Plot of INRIA simu withoutblur 2260x200x1200 32bLE 1 O 523.71x52.03 (842x383); 8-bit; 315K 110 100 100 manna /alue 90 06 Valu Gray Gray 80 70 100 200 300 400 100 200 300 400 0 0 25 50 75 100 125 150 175 Distance (pixels) Distance (pixels) 0 List Data » More » Live List Data » More » Live

Let's talk data

Introduction Α.



It is an *ill-posed inverse problem*. We search for an estimation $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$ of the original image \mathbf{u} .

• **n** : Noise

Find an estimation of the original image

Least Square Solution: $\hat{\mathbf{u}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{u}} \|\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{u}\|_2^2$

 \rightarrow Reduce the set of solution by introducing constraints - Regularization

$$\text{With regularization:} \quad \hat{\mathbf{u}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{u}} \|\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + R(\mathbf{u}) \quad \begin{cases} \lambda \|\mathbf{u}\|_1 \\ \lambda \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_2 \\ \lambda \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_2 \\ \lambda \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_2 \\ \lambda \|\Psi\mathbf{u}\|_1 \quad \Psi \text{: a wavelet basis} \\ \mathbf{Combination} \end{cases}$$



Some examples:

- For $R(\mathbf{u}) = \lambda \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2$
- Tikhonov Regularization Smooth contours
- Smooth convex optimization
- (Accelerated))Gradient Descent
- For $R(\mathbf{u}) = \lambda \| \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_1$
- Total Variation (TV) Piecewise constant regions
- Non-smooth convex optimization
- Primal-Dual, Alternate Direction Method of Multipliers (ADMM),...



• The Peak-Signal-to-Noise-Ratio (PSNR):

$$PSNR(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) = 10 \log_{10} \left(\frac{K^2}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\boldsymbol{u}_i - \boldsymbol{v}_i)^2} \right)$$

- A log-scaled Mean Squared Error, measured in dB
- The variables u,v are images of the same size and K is the maximum pixel value

Zero edge initialization

100 150

Constant edge initialization

100 150 200

200

50

Strategies to handle padding to avoid boundary effects

- Setting outer values to 0 (zero edge)
- Setting outer values to the mean of the picture (mean edge)
- Setting outer values equal to the edges (constant edge)



B. Preliminary investigations

- C. Variational implementation with pytorch
- D. Deep Learning & Synthetic Degradation

B. Preliminary investigations

Least square and regularization



Restored image using regularization with l2-norm.

Restored image using **least square** (R = 0)

B. Preliminary investigations

FISTA / TV / TV+Haar deblurred



B. Preliminary investigations

FISTA / TV / TV+Haar deblurred



- Another frame of the sequence,
 - We recover **sharper** images
 - With PyLops python package.

MONTH		
TV		
Marin		
TV+Haar		
manth		

L1+Haar

1.1

Regularizer	PSNR (dB)
L1 + Haar Wavelet (FISTA)	40.26
TV	37.86
TV + Haar Wavelet	41.47
	13

- A. Introduction
- B. Preliminary investigations

D. Deep Learning & Synthetic Degradation



Variational approach - use autograd

- Use the PyTorch framework to automatically compute the gradient of the cost J thanks to autograd
- Use the L1 regularisation

$$J(\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{g} - \boldsymbol{H}\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}\|_1$$

• Performing gradient descent with a learning rate of 0.01

Variational approach - simulation results (1/3)



Variational approach - simulation results (2/3) ò - original blurred Non blurred image - t=1000 Original b true image why why man and the phant the 125 150 175 0 25 150 175 100 125 150 175

This shows better separation of the neighboring lines, but grain is also deconvolved (PSNR \approx 45)

Variational approach - simulation results (3/3)



In the case of high gray-level variations, this method exhibits some drawbacks.

Variational approach - What about the *real* data?





19

- A. Introduction
- B. Preliminary investigations
- C. Variational implementation with pytorch

D. Deep Learning & Synthetic Degradation

Training Deep Learning Models

• Deep Learning models allow to solve jointly for noise and blur.



Real data sample



- Model: Transformer-based SwinIR [1]
- Two ways to formulate input:
 - a. Single Image
 - b. Burst-Style denoising [2]

Liang, J., Cao, J., Sun, G., Zhang, K., Van Gool, L. and Timofte, R., 2021. Swinir: Image restoration using swin transformer. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision* (pp. 1833-1844).
Mildenhall, B., Barron, J.T., Chen, J., Sharlet, D., Ng, R. and Carroll, R., 2018. Burst denoising with kernel prediction networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (pp. 2502-2510).

Deep Learning for De-Noising & De-Blurring

- Common Practice: Creating data out of high quality images.
- Given a correct model for the stochastic process of the noise, all we need to create a dataset is HQ images.
- Available data: 360 CT tomography of one object.
- Train-Test Split: ¹/₄ of the CT sequence withheld for testing.



Image acquired from noisy capture

Clean acquiring capture

Synthetically simulated noisy capture

Training Deep Learning Models



Synthetic - Single Image









25





Noisy - Low Quality Input



Output - Model trained on different object with synthetic Noise&Blur

Conclusion

Conclusion and future steps

- The variational approach works best in case of images with high contrast
- The distribution of this data does not match that of pre-trained models: retraining from scratch must be privileged.
- Deep-learning trial still has room for improvements.

• Future Steps:

- Replace the data fidelity term (least-square) by the Kullback–Leibler (KL) divergence to capture better the existence of Poisson noise
- Make the training data more challenging. In the provided simulated data only a small part is challenging





